

Current Arrangements	RCC Recommendations	LGBCE Draft Recommendations
<b>Council Size:</b> 26 <b>Average number of electors per councilor (2017):</b> 1119 <b>Number of electoral wards:</b> 16	<b>Council Size:</b> 28 <b>Average number of electors per councilor (2023):</b> 1129 <b>Number of electoral wards:</b> 17	<b>Council Size:</b> 27 <b>Average number of electors per councilor (2023):</b> 1171 <b>Number of electoral wards:</b> 15
<b><u>Braunston and Belton</u> – 1 member</b> (Ayston, Belton in Rutland, Braunston in Rutland, Brooke, Leighfield, Preston, Ridlington, Wardley)	<b><u>Braunston and Belton</u> – 1 member</b> (Ayston, Belton in Rutland, Braunston in Rutland, Brooke, Leighfield, Preston, Ridlington, Wardley)	<b><u>Braunston and Martinsthorpe</u> – 2 members</b> (Ayston, Belton in Rutland, Braunston in Rutland, Brooke, Leighfield, Preston, Ridlington, Wardley, Gunthorpe, Lyndon, Manton, Martinsthorpe, Morcott, Pilton, Wing)
<b><u>Martinsthorpe</u> – 1 member</b> (Gunthorpe, Lyndon, Manton, Martinsthorpe, Morcott, Pilton, Wing)	<b><u>Martinsthorpe</u> – 1 member</b> (Gunthorpe, Lyndon, Manton, Martinsthorpe, Morcott, Pilton, Wing, Hambleton)	N/A Martinsthorpe combined with Braunston – see above
<b><u>Oakham North East</u> – 2 members</b>	<b><u>Oakham North East</u> – 2 members</b> (No changes)	<b><u>Oakham North East</u> – 2 members</b> (alterations to Oakham Parish Ward boundaries)
<b><u>Oakham North West</u> – 2 members</b> (Barleythorpe, Oakham North West)	<b><u>Oakham North West</u> – 2 members</b> (Oakham North West, alterations to Oakham Parish Ward boundaries)	<b><u>Oakham North West</u> – 3 members</b> (Barleythorpe, Oakham North West, alterations to Oakham Parish Ward boundaries)
N/A - Barleythorpe currently part of Oakham North West (See above)	<b><u>Barleythorpe</u> – 2 members</b> (Barleythorpe)	N/A – RCC Proposal to separate Parish of Barleythorpe from Oakham North West ward of Oakham rejected by LGBCE
<b><u>Oakham South East</u> – 2 members</b>	<b><u>Oakham South East</u> – 2 members</b> (No changes)	<b><u>Oakham South East</u> – 2 members</b> (alterations to Oakham Parish Ward boundaries)
<b><u>Oakham South West</u> – 2 members</b>	<b><u>Oakham South West</u> – 2 Members</b> (alterations to Oakham Parish Ward boundaries)	<b><u>Oakham South West</u> – 2 Members</b> (alterations to Oakham Parish Ward boundaries)
<b><u>Cottesmore</u> – 2 members</b> (Barrow, Cottesmore, Market Overton, Teigh)	<b><u>Cottesmore</u> – 2 members</b> (Barrow, Cottesmore, Market Overton)	<b><u>Cottesmore</u> – 2 members</b> (Barrow, Cottesmore, Market Overton)
<b><u>Exton</u> – 1 member</b> (Ashwell, Burley, Egleton, Exton and Horn, Hambleton, Whitwell)	<b><u>Exton</u> – 1 Member</b> (Ashwell, Burley, Egleton, Exton and Horn, Whitwell)	<b><u>Exton</u> – 1 member</b> (Ashwell, Burley, Egleton, Exton and Horn, Hambleton, Whitwell) <b>No changes to current ward boundaries proposed</b>

Current Arrangements	RCC Recommendations	LGBCE Draft Recommendations
<b><u>Greetham</u></b> – 1 member (Clipsham, Greetham, Pickworth, Stretton, Thistleton)	<b><u>Greetham</u></b> – 1 member (Clipsham, Greetham, Pickworth, Stretton, Thistleton) No changes to current ward boundaries proposed	<b><u>Greetham</u></b> – 1 member (Clipsham, Greetham, Pickworth, Stretton, Thistleton) <b>No changes to current ward boundaries proposed</b>
<b><u>Ketton</u></b> – 2 members (Barrowden, Ketton, Tinwell, Tixover)	<b><u>Ketton</u></b> – 2 members (Barrowden, Ketton, Tinwell, Tixover) No changes to current ward boundaries proposed	<b><u>Ketton</u></b> – 2 members (Barrowden, Ketton, Tinwell, Tixover) <b>No changes to current ward boundaries proposed</b>
<b><u>Langham</u></b> – 1 member (Langham)	<b><u>Langham</u></b> – 1 member (Langham) No changes to current ward boundaries proposed	<b><u>Langham</u></b> – 1 member (Langham) <b>No changes to current ward boundaries proposed</b>
<b><u>Lyddington</u></b> – 1 member (Bisbrooke, Caldecott, Glaston, Lyddington, Seaton, Stoke Dry, Thorpe-by-Water)	<b><u>Lyddington</u></b> – 1 member (Bisbrooke, Caldecott, Glaston, Lyddington, Seaton, Stoke Dry, Thorpe-by-Water) No changes to current ward boundaries	<b><u>Lyddington</u></b> – 1 member (Bisbrooke, Caldecott, Glaston, Lyddington, Seaton, Stoke Dry, Thorpe-by-Water) No changes to current ward boundaries
<b><u>Normanton</u></b> – 2 members (Edith Weston, Empingham, Normanton, North Luffenham, South Luffenham)	<b><u>Normanton</u></b> – 2 members (Edith Weston, Empingham, Normanton, North Luffenham, South Luffenham) No changes to current ward boundaries proposed	<b><u>Normanton</u></b> – 2 members (Edith Weston, Empingham, Normanton, North Luffenham, South Luffenham) <b>No changes to current ward boundaries proposed</b>
<b><u>Ryhall &amp; Casterton</u></b> – 2 members (Essendine, Great Casterton, Little Casterton, Ryhall, Tickencote)	<b><u>Ryhall &amp; Casterton</u></b> – 2 members (Essendine, Great Casterton, Little Casterton, Ryhall, Tickencote) No changes to current ward boundaries proposed	<b><u>Ryhall &amp; Casterton</u></b> – 2 members (Essendine, Great Casterton, Little Casterton, Ryhall, Tickencote) <b>No changes to current ward boundaries proposed</b>
<b><u>Uppingham</u></b> – 3 members (Beaumont Chase, Uppingham)	<b><u>Uppingham</u></b> – 3 members (Beaumont Chase, Uppingham) No changes to current ward boundaries proposed	<b><u>Uppingham</u></b> – 3 members (Beaumont Chase, Uppingham) <b>No changes to current ward boundaries proposed</b>
<b><u>Whissendine</u></b> – 1 member (Whissendine)	<b><u>Whissendine</u></b> – 1 member (Whissendine, Teigh)	<b><u>Whissendine</u></b> – 1 member (Whissendine, Teigh)

LGBCE accepts RCC proposal

LGBCE makes own proposal